

EXHIBIT 15

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

- - - - - x

CHASOM BROWN; MARIA NGUYEN; WILLIAM
BYATT; JEREMY DAVIS; and CHRISTOPHER
CASTILLO, individually and on behalf
of all other similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK

-against-

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

- - - - - x

Zoom video conference deposition of
RORY McCLELLAND, taken pursuant to
notice, was held remotely, commencing
February 18, 2022, 5:30 a.m. Eastern
Standard Time, before Leslie Fagin, a
Stenographic Court Reporter and Notary
Public in the State of New York.

- - -

MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES
320 West 37th Street, 12th Floor
New York, New York 10018
(866) 624-6221

Page 2

1 APPEARANCES:
2 (All Parties Present Via Zoom.)

3
4 BOIES SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
6 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor
7 San Francisco, California 94104
8 BY: MARK MAO, ESQUIRE
9 ROSANNA BAEZA, ESQUIRE

10 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN
11 Attorneys for Defendant
12 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
13 New York, New York 10010
14 BY: JOMAIRE A. CRAWFORD, ESQUIRE
15 CARL SPILLY, ESQUIRE

16 BAILEY GLASSER
17 Attorneys for Witness
18 209 Capitol Street
19 Charleston, West Virginia 25301
20 BY: BENJAMIN L. BAILEY, ESQUIRE
21 ELLIOTT MCGRAW, ESQUIRE

22 ALSO PRESENT:

23 LESLEY WEAVER, ESQUIRE
24 BLEICHMAR FONTI
25 For the Calhoun Plaintiffs

VANESSA WHEELER, Exhibit Tech
Magna Legal Services

Page 3

1 R. McClelland
2 RORY MCCLELLAND, called as a
3 witness, having been duly sworn by a
4 Notary Public, was examined and testified
5 as follows:

6 MS. BAEZA: Good morning this is
7 Rosanna Baeza on behalf of plaintiffs
8 and with me is Mark Mao, also from Boies
9 Schiller Flexner.

10 MS. WEAVER: Good morning. Lesley
11 Weaver, Bleichmar Fonti on behalf of the
12 Calhoun plaintiffs.

13 MS. CRAWFORD: Jomaire Crawford
14 from Quinn Emanuel Urquhart for the
15 defendant, Google LLC. I am joined this
16 morning by my colleague, Carl Spilly.

17 MR. BAILEY: I'm Ben Bailey with
18 Bailey Glasser and my colleague, Elliott
19 McGraw is on. We represent the witness.

20 Even if one steps out, there will
21 always be one of us here.

22 EXAMINATION BY

23 MS. BAEZA:

24 Q. Good morning. My name is Rosanna
25 Baeza and I represent the plaintiffs in this

Page 4

1 R. McClelland

2 case.

3 Can you state your full name for
4 the record?

5 A. My full name is Rory James
6 McClelland.

7 Q. Before we begin, where are you
8 located presently?

9 A. I'm in London, United Kingdom.

10 Q. Where exactly in London?

11 A. In the Boies Schiller office. The
12 address is No. 5 New Street Square.

13 Q. Is there anybody in the room with
14 you today?

15 A. No, there is not.

16 Q. Mr. McClelland, have you ever
17 testified under oath before?

18 A. No, I haven't.

19 Q. Do you understand that you are
20 under the same oath today as if you were in a
21 courtroom?

22 A. I do.

23 Q. I'm going to assume that you
24 understand the questions that I ask you,
25 unless you tell me that you don't understand

Page 5

1 R. McClelland

2 them, is that fair?

3 A. I understand, yes.

4 Q. Is there anything that would
5 prevent you from testifying truthfully today?

6 A. No, there is nothing.

7 Q. If at any time you need to take a
8 break during the deposition, will you let me
9 know?

10 A. I will.

11 Q. Do you have an undergraduate
12 degree?

13 A. I do, yes.

14 Q. What did you study?

15 A. Electronic and computer
16 engineering.

17 Q. Where did you earn your
18 undergraduate degree?

19 A. At the University of Birmingham.

20 Q. When did you graduate from the
21 University of Birmingham?

22 A. September 2001.

23 Q. Do you have a graduate degree?

24 A. I do. I have a master's in
25 computer science from the same university.

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

1 R. McClelland
2 unreliable, would you have any basis to
3 dispute his conclusion?

4 A. I'm not really sufficiently
5 technical to have a strong view there,
6 however, it was known that this was
7 notoriously difficult to do and finicky and,
8 suddenly took a lot of time before we got a
9 model that we had confidence in.

10 Q. Can you explain for us why that is,
11 why it was tricky or finicky?

12 A. Well, there are different ways of
13 measuring Incognito usage. Simple sessions,
14 number of pages, an understanding of what is
15 important, different pages have different
16 number of ad impressions on them, some pages
17 have none at all, some have three or four ads
18 and that's not represented in the simple page
19 load in Incognito metric, so where you are
20 trying to find a model that was the best
21 proxy to revenue impact was quite hard.
22 Beyond that, the technical reasons, too
23 technical for me, I'm afraid.

24 Q. That's okay.

25 Now, I would like to ask a couple

1 R. McClelland
2 of questions about joinability and the logs
3 that you were asked about and you testified
4 about.

5 Do you remember counsel asking you
6 questions regarding segmentation of regular
7 browsing mode profiles and Incognito mode
8 profiles?

9 A. Yes, I do.

10 Q. If we can, let's introduce tab 6,
11 which is a document that was produced by
12 Google during discovery. It bears the Bates
13 No. GOOG-CABR-00892455. It should be up in
14 front of you as T-06.

15 A. I'm looking at it now.

16 Q. Appreciate that if you could take a
17 look at it and just let me know whenever you
18 are done?

19 (Exhibit 23, documents beginning
20 with Bates stamp No. GOOG-CABR-00892455,
21 marked for identification.)

22 THE EXHIBIT TECH: Just let me know
23 when you need me to turn the page.

24 THE WITNESS: I'm looking at the
25 actual document, so I'm fine.

1 R. McClelland
2 A. I have read the document. Thank
3 you.

4 Q. Are you familiar with this document
5 or the general policy that this document
6 describes?

7 A. Yes, I am.

8 Q. Can you tell us what this is?

9 A. It defines how data should be
10 treated by Google employees, and particularly
11 how certain types of data should be handled
12 with more care and certain things that must
13 never happen. It's a data usage policy
14 document.

15 Q. Did you consult this policy in
16 connection with your work for Google?

17 A. Certainly. This document was used
18 regularly.

19 Q. How did you -- how was this
20 document used regularly?

21 A. Both in terms of how we would use
22 data in our own features, but would also be
23 referenced when we were quality auditing
24 other feature teams features, perhaps
25 pointing to something in this just to draw

1 R. McClelland
2 their attention to it.

3 Q. If you could please scroll to the
4 page that ends in 455 and I'm looking
5 specifically at the Go/Loss-Usage header.

6 Do you see where that appears?

7 A. Page 455?

8 Q. That's right. First page -- it's
9 at the very top of the page.

10 A. I see. Yes, I skipped over it.

11 Q. If we could call that up on the
12 screen.

13 Do you mind rereading this sentence
14 and letting me know when you are done?

15 A. I have read it.

16 Q. Based on your understanding of this
17 portion of the document, do you understand
18 that Google prohibits reidentifying any
19 individuals using anonymous or synonymous
20 data?

21 A. I do, but that doesn't seem to
22 apply to the particular bit of tech shown,
23 but, yes, I do.

24 Q. If we get to a portion of the
25 document where there is support for that

Page 278

Page 279

1 R. McClelland
 2 understanding, can you just call it out and
 3 let me know?
 4 A. Sure.
 5 Q. Google prohibits, based on your
 6 understanding of this policy, correlating
 7 authenticated and non-authenticated
 8 information, is that right?
 9 A. That is right, yes.
 10 Q. Can you explain why that is?
 11 A. An example would be in Incognito
 12 mode, again, a user who signed in in regular
 13 mode, one use of Incognito may be to
 14 temporarily present as a non-signed-in user
 15 and, therefore, it's important, from the
 16 user's point of view, they have chosen to do
 17 that to segment their browsing activity and,
 18 therefore, it is important that Google does
 19 not attempt to rejoin that data.
 20 Q. Are you aware of Google rejoining
 21 that data?
 22 A. No, as far as I am aware, Google
 23 never did that.
 24 Q. Why is that?
 25 A. Because it would be a breach of

1 R. McClelland
 2 user trust, potential PR incident.
 3 Q. Google also prohibits
 4 fingerprinting users for the purpose of
 5 associating their activity over time or
 6 across contexts, is that right?
 7 MS. BAEZA: Objection to form, lack
 8 of foundation, compound.
 9 A. Yes, that is my understanding, that
 10 fingerprinting was also not allowed to be
 11 used.
 12 Q. Is your understanding of why it was
 13 not allowed to be used any different than the
 14 explanation you just provided for why Google
 15 doesn't correlate authenticated and
 16 non-authenticated data?
 17 A. Exactly, same reasons, user trust
 18 perception, PR.
 19 Q. In the context of Incognito mode
 20 for Chrome specifically, is it also true that
 21 Google prohibits joining authenticated
 22 information with non-authenticated data?
 23 A. Exactly, the policy still applies
 24 for Incognito mode.
 25 Q. If a user opens an Incognito window

Page 280

Page 281

1 R. McClelland
 2 and does not log into a Google account, the
 3 information from their Incognito browsing
 4 session would be considered unauthenticated,
 5 is that right?
 6 A. Not necessarily a user. There is
 7 nothing to prevent a user from signing into
 8 to a regular account within Incognito mode,
 9 upon which they would then be authenticated,
 10 but, by default, when you first launch an
 11 Incognito window, you would be signed out of
 12 all Google services and, therefore,
 13 unauthenticated.
 14 Q. If the user in that Incognito
 15 session does not log in at all to any Google
 16 account, is the information from that
 17 browsing session unauthenticated?
 18 A. That is right, it's
 19 unauthenticated.
 20 Q. And Google team members, would you
 21 agree, are tasked with preventing the joining
 22 of data from non-Incognito browsing instances
 23 with Incognito browsing instances?
 24 A. Tasked with preventing, my
 25 understanding more that it was prohibited, it

1 R. McClelland
 2 wasn't allowed. Whether there were efforts
 3 to actually make that harder, I don't know or
 4 not, but my understanding of the policy was
 5 that as employees, we must never do that,
 6 must never endeavor to do that.
 7 Q. Are you aware of any instance where
 8 you endeavored to do that or anyone who
 9 reported to you?
 10 A. No.
 11 MS. BAEZA: Objection to form,
 12 compound, asked and answered.
 13 A. No, I am not aware of any incident
 14 where that was tried.
 15 Q. Were you, in connection with your
 16 work as Chrome browser privacy manager,
 17 responsible for enforcing this policy?
 18 A. No, that was outside of my
 19 responsibilities. There were teams who were
 20 more responsible for it, but everyone had a
 21 responsibility to adhere to the policy.
 22 Q. Would you say you did that in
 23 connection with your employment at Google?
 24 A. Yes, that's right.
 25 Q. I would now like to introduce tab

71 (Pages 278 to 281)

1
2 ---
3 EXHIBIT
4 ---

EXHIBIT	PAGE
Exhibit 13 Documents bearing Bates Stamp No. GOOG-CABR-00173728 through GOOG-CABR-00173735	189
Exhibit 14 Documents bearing Bates Stamp No. GOOG-CABR-01561563 through GOOG-CABR-01561579	192
Exhibit 16 Documents bearing Bates Stamp No. GOOG-CABR-00358713 and GOOG-CABR-00358714	199
Exhibit 17 Document bearing Bates Stamp No. GOOG-CABR-00799341	208
Exhibit 15 Documents bearing Bates Stamp No. GOOG-CABR-05256755 through GOOG-CABR-05256760	209
Exhibit 18 Documents beginning with Bates stamp No. GOOG-CABR-05145880	231
Exhibit 19 Documents beginning with Bates stamp No. GOOG-CABR-0502836	248
Exhibit 20 Documents beginning with Bates stamp No. GOOG-CABR-00503128	260

1
2 ---
3 EXHIBIT
4 ---

EXHIBIT	PAGE
Exhibit 21 Documents beginning with Bates stamp No. GOOG-BRWN-0045551	265
Exhibit 22 Documents beginning with Bates stamp No. GOOG-CABR-00483672	268
Exhibit 23 Documents beginning with Bates stamp No. GOOG-CABR-00892455	275
Exhibit 24 Documents beginning with Bates stamp No. GOOG-CABR-05236676	281
Exhibit 25 Excerpt from 75-page complaint	288
Exhibit 26 Documents beginning with Bates stamp No. GOOG-BRWN-00029326	300
Exhibit 27 Excerpt from complaint	302
Exhibit 28 Document bearing Bates Stamp No. GOOG-CABR-05786200	322

1
2 ---
3 DEPOSITION SUPPORT INDEX
4 ---

5 Direction to Witness Not to Answer
6 Page Line Page Line Page Line
None

7
8 Request for Production of Documents
9 Page Line Page Line Page Line
None

10
11 Stipulations
12 Page Line Page Line Page Line
None

13
14 Questions Marked
15 Page Line Page Line Page Line
None

16
17 To Be Filled In
18 Page Line Page Line Page Line
None

1
2
3 CERTIFICATE

4 I HEREBY CERTIFY that the witness,
5 RORY McCLELLAND, was duly sworn by me and
6 that the deposition is a true record of the
testimony given by the witness.

7 Leslie Fagin,
8 Registered Professional Reporter
9 Dated: February 18, 2022

10
11 (The foregoing certification of
12 this transcript does not apply to any
reproduction of the same by any means, unless
under the direct control and/or supervision
of the certifying reporter.)